

Existence Is Infinite

Moderators: AMod, iMod

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Mar 25, 2025 5:05 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Mar 24, 2025 10:08 am
> > - It may be questioned why existence is. There is no why or reason.
>
> Why are you CLOSED, here?
>
> The reason, of WHY, 'Existence' IS has ALREADY BEEN ANSWER, and thus IS ALREADY KNOWN.
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Mar 24, 2025 10:08 am
> > Why would imply a cause or a beginning.
>
> NOT necessarily SO, AT ALL.
>
> WHY do you BELIEVE that 'why' would imply a cause or a beginning?
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Mar 24, 2025 10:08 am
> > Existence is eternal and did not begin. There was no reason initiating existence as existence is eternal. There is no reason for existence. Existence simply is.
>
> AGAIN, WHY are you CLOSED, here, regarding WHY?
>
> WHAT made or CAUSED you to BECOME CLOSED?

Considering existence is eternal any reason or “why” would be applied after the fact of existence already being. Any reason would be a contrivance, a fabrication.

“Why” and “reason” are issues pertaining to conscious beings and particular things. Inherently existence has no reason, existence just is.

Conscious beings construct purpose and reason and project those ideas onto things. In that sense things can have reason or purpose.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:27 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 10:44 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Mar 25, 2025 4:19 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Mar 06, 2025 1:08 pm
> > >
> > > Whole or complete, in the sense used in relation to the philosophy, simply means all or entirety; whole or complete simply means all things including the connectedness of things.
> > >
> > > Existence, generally speaking, is not just an object. Existence as a whole is not an object or thing like a pizza or a pineapple. Although existence will never end, although things will never cease, although things are connected, this does not mean to imply existence as a single thing or a single object.
> >
> > If you want to keep CLAIMING that 'nonexistence' can NOT be, then you will ALSO have to ADMIT that 'Existence', Itself, is A 'single, eternal, thing'.
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Thu Mar 06, 2025 1:08 pm
> > > Although existence will never end, although things will never cease this does not mean to imply all things are not all things. Nor does it serve to sever the connectedness of things. Although all things may not appear to be present at this moment does not mean all things are not all things.
> > >
> > > That existence does not cease, that there is no edge to existence, no gap of nonexistence between things or existence, illustrates the completeness or wholeness of existence.
> >
> > Which therefore further implies or further means that 'Existence', Itself, IS, in fact, 'A single, infinite and eternal, Thing'.
>
> A thing is among other things. A thing has some environment.
>
> Existence is all things, not simply among things. Existence is all environments, not confined within one.
>
> Existence is infinite and eternal and has no beginning or ending like a thing.

So, you, FINALLY, come to AGREE and ACCEPT what I have been POINTING OUT and SAYING all along, here.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:39 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Mar 25, 2025 5:05 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Mar 24, 2025 10:08 am
> > > - It may be questioned why existence is. There is no why or reason.
> >
> > Why are you CLOSED, here?
> >
> > The reason, of WHY, 'Existence' IS has ALREADY BEEN ANSWER, and thus IS ALREADY KNOWN.
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Mar 24, 2025 10:08 am
> > > Why would imply a cause or a beginning.
> >
> > NOT necessarily SO, AT ALL.
> >
> > WHY do you BELIEVE that 'why' would imply a cause or a beginning?
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Mon Mar 24, 2025 10:08 am
> > > Existence is eternal and did not begin. There was no reason initiating existence as existence is eternal. There is no reason for existence. Existence simply is.
> >
> > AGAIN, WHY are you CLOSED, here, regarding WHY?
> >
> > WHAT made or CAUSED you to BECOME CLOSED?
>
> Considering existence is eternal any reason or “why” would be applied after the fact of existence already being. Any reason would be a contrivance, a fabrication.

EVERY conception, which is more or less just what ALL thought AND meaning is, is more or less just a contrivance and/or a fabrication, anyway. EXACTLY like your thought, and conception, that 'Existence is eternal and did not begin' WAS applied AFTER the Fact of Existence already being.

Does the Fact that your contrivance, fabrication, conception, thought, and belief, here, which, OBVIOUSLY came-into-being AFTER Existence, Itself, was already being LESSEN or REFUTE your CLAIM, here?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am
> “Why” and “reason” are issues pertaining to conscious beings and particular things. Inherently existence has no reason, existence just is.

But, this is just what 'the one' known, here, as "daniel j lavender" thinks or BELIEVES is true.

See, unless 'you' have REACHED and ACHIEVED FULL KNOWLEDGE OF Everything, then there is, STILL, MORE FOR 'you', to LEARN, UNDERSTAND, and REASON, here.

ONCE MORE just because ANY of you human beings think or BELIEVE some thing is TRUE NEVER MEANS that 'it' ACTUALLY IS True.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am
> Conscious beings construct purpose and reason and project those ideas onto things. In that sense things can have reason or purpose.

ALL DEFLECTION.

Let 'us' LOOK AT what I ACTUALLY WROTE and ASKED 'you', here.

AGAIN, (what is THE REASON) WHY are you CLOSED, here, regarding WHY?

WHAT (is THE REASON that) made or CAUSED you to BECOME CLOSED?

CONTINUING TO KEEP TELLING 'us' what you BELIEVE IS TRUE, WILL NOT MAKE 'it' True.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 6:57 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:27 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 10:44 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Mar 25, 2025 4:19 am
> > > If you want to keep CLAIMING that 'nonexistence' can NOT be, then you will ALSO have to ADMIT that 'Existence', Itself, is A 'single, eternal, thing'.
> > >
> > > Which therefore further implies or further means that 'Existence', Itself, IS, in fact, 'A single, infinite and eternal, Thing'.
> >
> > A thing is among other things. A thing has some environment.
> >
> > Existence is all things, not simply among things. Existence is all environments, not confined within one.
> >
> > Existence is infinite and eternal and has no beginning or ending like a thing.
>
> So, you, FINALLY, come to AGREE and ACCEPT what I have been POINTING OUT and SAYING all along, here.

Existence is a thing in part.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:39 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Tue Mar 25, 2025 5:05 am
> > > Why are you CLOSED, here?
> > >
> > > The reason, of WHY, 'Existence' IS has ALREADY BEEN ANSWER, and thus IS ALREADY KNOWN.
> > >
> > > NOT necessarily SO, AT ALL.
> > >
> > > WHY do you BELIEVE that 'why' would imply a cause or a beginning?
> > >
> > > AGAIN, WHY are you CLOSED, here, regarding WHY?
> > >
> > > WHAT made or CAUSED you to BECOME CLOSED?
> >
> > Considering existence is eternal any reason or “why” would be applied after the fact of existence already being. Any reason would be a contrivance, a fabrication.
>
> EVERY conception, which is more or less just what ALL thought AND meaning is, is more or less just a contrivance and/or a fabrication, anyway. EXACTLY like your thought, and conception, that 'Existence is eternal and did not begin' WAS applied AFTER the Fact of Existence already being.
>
> Does the Fact that your contrivance, fabrication, conception, thought, and belief, here, which, OBVIOUSLY came-into-being AFTER Existence, Itself, was already being LESSEN or REFUTE your CLAIM, here?

That is acknowledged with the statement.

However I am not attributing a reason or a why to existence retroactively.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:39 am
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am
> > “Why” and “reason” are issues pertaining to conscious beings and particular things. Inherently existence has no reason, existence just is.
>
> But, this is just what 'the one' known, here, as "daniel j lavender" thinks or BELIEVES is true.
>
> See, unless 'you' have REACHED and ACHIEVED FULL KNOWLEDGE OF Everything, then there is, STILL, MORE FOR 'you', to LEARN, UNDERSTAND, and REASON, here.
>
> ONCE MORE just because ANY of you human beings think or BELIEVE some thing is TRUE NEVER MEANS that 'it' ACTUALLY IS True.

Provide examples of “reason” and “why” which do not involve conscious beings or particulars. Then your statement may have merit.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Fairy** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 9:11 am

Existence is a unicorn.

Fairy
Posts: 2631
Joined: Thu May 09, 2024 7:07 pm
Location: The United Kingdom of Heaven

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 3:15 pm

> **Fairy** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 9:11 am
> Existence is a unicorn.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Tue Jul 04, 2023 12:25 am
> Yes, a unicorn is a thing.
>
> It is a word, a term, a concept, a mythological creature. An animal which may actually exist on some planet or in some other realm. After all we have similar animals here: horses.
>
> The word, the idea, the concept at least, is perceived. It has quality, if only conceptual.
>
> So yes, unicorns are aspects or parts of existence.
>
> A thing is a thing; every thing is some thing, not no thing.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Impenitent** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 8:23 pm

> **Fairy** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 9:11 am
> Existence is a unicorn.

before or after it pops?

-Imp

Impenitent
Posts: 5551
Joined: Wed Feb 10, 2010 2:04 pm

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 11:54 pm

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 6:57 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:27 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 10:44 am
> > >
> > > A thing is among other things. A thing has some environment.
> > >
> > > Existence is all things, not simply among things. Existence is all environments, not confined within one.
> > >
> > > Existence is infinite and eternal and has no beginning or ending like a thing.
> >
> > So, you, FINALLY, come to AGREE and ACCEPT what I have been POINTING OUT and SAYING all along, here.
>
> Existence is a thing in part.

If you say so.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Sat Apr 05, 2025 11:57 pm

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:39 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am
> > >
> > > Considering existence is eternal any reason or “why” would be applied after the fact of existence already being. Any reason would be a contrivance, a fabrication.
> >
> > EVERY conception, which is more or less just what ALL thought AND meaning is, is more or less just a contrivance and/or a fabrication, anyway. EXACTLY like your thought, and conception, that 'Existence is eternal and did not begin' WAS applied AFTER the Fact of Existence already being.
> >
> > Does the Fact that your contrivance, fabrication, conception, thought, and belief, here, which, OBVIOUSLY came-into-being AFTER Existence, Itself, was already being LESSEN or REFUTE your CLAIM, here?
>
> That is acknowledged with the statement.

But, this is not yet acknowledged with that statement.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am
> However I am not attributing a reason or a why to existence retroactively.

Because you do not yet know THE REASON NOR THE WHY.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:39 am
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Fri Apr 04, 2025 11:07 am
> > > “Why” and “reason” are issues pertaining to conscious beings and particular things. Inherently existence has no reason, existence just is.
> >
> > But, this is just what 'the one' known, here, as "daniel j lavender" thinks or BELIEVES is true.
> >
> > See, unless 'you' have REACHED and ACHIEVED FULL KNOWLEDGE OF Everything, then there is, STILL, MORE FOR 'you', to LEARN, UNDERSTAND, and REASON, here.
> >
> > ONCE MORE just because ANY of you human beings think or BELIEVE some thing is TRUE NEVER MEANS that 'it' ACTUALLY IS True.
>
> Provide examples of “reason” and “why” which do not involve conscious beings or particulars. Then your statement may have merit.

AGAIN, your two sentences and CLAIMS, here, do NOT make ACTUAL SENSE.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **daniel j lavender** » Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 11:57 pm
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am
> > However I am not attributing a reason or a why to existence retroactively.
>
> Because you do not yet know THE REASON NOR THE WHY.

As expressed existence itself has no reason or why. Those are complexities concerning conscious beings and particular things.

Reason is an aspect of existence, not a cause of existence or something beyond existence.

Reason could not cause or initiate existence when reason itself is existence.

To reiterate there is no reason or why for existence. Existence simply is. Reason and why concern conscious beings and particular or specific things.

You claim I “do not yet know the reason nor the why”. I’m interested to know what you think those are.

> **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 11:57 pm
>
> > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am
> >
> > > **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 4:39 am
> > > But, this is just what 'the one' known, here, as "daniel j lavender" thinks or BELIEVES is true.
> > >
> > > See, unless 'you' have REACHED and ACHIEVED FULL KNOWLEDGE OF Everything, then there is, STILL, MORE FOR 'you', to LEARN, UNDERSTAND, and REASON, here.
> > >
> > > ONCE MORE just because ANY of you human beings think or BELIEVE some thing is TRUE NEVER MEANS that 'it' ACTUALLY IS True.
> >
> > Provide examples of “reason” and “why” which do not involve conscious beings or particulars. Then your statement may have merit.
>
> AGAIN, your two sentences and CLAIMS, here, do NOT make ACTUAL SENSE.

This could easily be interpreted as an attempt to evade my request for examples.

The sentences certainly make sense.

You seem to claim existence itself has or had some reason for being. I’m asking that you provide an example of such reason not involving conscious beings or particular things to illustrate such reason for existence itself. Illustrate your point.

daniel j lavender
Posts: 290
Joined: Sat Apr 16, 2022 3:20 pm
Location: Tennessee
Contact:

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Ben JS** » Mon Apr 07, 2025 2:56 am

I agree and said this on ILP over a decade ago.
It was also one of my stated beliefs upon joining this site.
For what it's worth,
these ideas go back millennia.
So we were both beaten to the punch.

2012:

https://www.ilovephilosophy.com/t/nothi ... xist/34009

> **Ben JS wrote:**
> Nothing doesn’t exist.
> [...]
> Nothing is the lack of existence. Therefore, in existence, nothing can’t reside.
>
> The application of nothing can also describe the absence of relevance or interest.
> The problem here is that the description must be applied to something.
> [...]
> To apply nothing to anything, is to inaccurately portray something.
> To dismiss it’s properties and values.
> If we describe Y as nothing, Y isn’t truly nothing,
> we’re just saying it is for the sake of X.
>
> Nothing doesn’t exist and can’t be accurately applied to anything that does exist. Conceptual or tangible.

=

2013:

https://www.ilovephilosophy.com/t/whate ... st/36306/4

> **Ben JS wrote:**
> We are aware, therefore, awareness is a possible state of reality.
> Reality will continually alter it’s state, realizing new possibilities.
> Eventually, any given state, will be repeated.
> Time is infinite, therefore, all states of reality will be repeated infinitely.
>
> We will follow the path of all possible states and in those states that determine our awareness, we will be aware.
> So, once we die, we will be dispersed, go on our path,
> and then eventually be aware once more in a state that permits it,
> probably without any awareness of the path that led to the present.
>
> ‘Being aware once more’.

And upon saying this, I got this reply:

> **Orbie wrote:**
> Upon reading your thoughts strangely, it. Is as if you were reading my mind or vica versa.
> This is exactly the argument which crossed my mind.
> Specifically, your thought that awareness is, and not isn't.
> So if one would follow up on this, the suicide really can't die, because– even if,
> another recurrance of his exact mental content comes up,
> the temporal space between one and another recurrence is non existent.
>
> [...]
> So is it conceivable that death (not physical death) is impossible,
> resulting in an infinite series of lives?
> This seems to be a reasonable consclusion of this scenario.

Perhaps I read your mind too?

=

2014:

https://www.ilovephilosophy.com/t/time-and-life/34230

> **Ben JS wrote:**
> All dimensions are infinite. There’s no beginning or end, in reality.
> The beginning is merely a point where all dimensions intersect.
> This is no true start, for before they intersected, they were apart.
> If they were never apart, we couldn’t possibly be as we are. We couldn’t possibly be.
>
> Therefore, I put it to you, time is infinite.
> If time is infinite, and all reality is just affect : A pendulum being pushed back and forth due to the prior momentum,
> then we will once again emerge. Life will once again emerge, for it has infinite opportunity to.
> And with infinite opportunity, comes determinism. Life is determined to emerge and re-emerge.
>
> [...] We will never know a moment of death. Death, is nothingness. And nothingness can’t connect to reality, for it is not part of reality.
> We are only energy, and energy fluctuates, but never truly dissipates.
> Energy just dances from one form, to another.
> We will die, and as soon as we’re aware once more, it will be because we are alive once more.
>
> [...]
> -
>
> The only thing that exists is space.
> All dimensions are arbitrary division of space,
> based on our illusion that space isn’t static and one.

=

https://www.ilovephilosophy.com/t/unive ... /38468/339

> **Ben JS wrote:**
> Time does not cause change, it measures it.
> Time is not an effect.
>
> Dimensions are a construction of man, projected onto reality.
> It serves our interests very well, but they are abstract.
> They are not a true account of reality.
>
> Confusion is caused when expect reality to obey by our projections.
> The confusion is highlighted by a question like, ‘How big is the universe?’
> It’s inconceivable that there’s an edge to universe. Because, what’s beyond that edge?
>
> Nothing doesn’t exist.
> To attribute nothing to something, is to disregard it’s relevance.
> That something is still there, you’re just ignoring it.
>
> ‘What’s that in your pocket?’ - ‘Oh, nothing. Don’t worry about it.’
>
> Same goes for dimensions.
> ‘These are the dimensions of the table’ - ‘What’s beyond the table?’ - ‘Not relevant. Focus on the bloody table.’
>
> Existence is eternal.
>
> Life dies.
> It may be recurring, as I believe,
> but that doesn’t mean it’s without end and beginning.

Ben JS
Posts: 223
Joined: Fri Dec 16, 2022 10:38 am
Location: Australia

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Mon Apr 07, 2025 3:46 am

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 11:57 pm
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am
> > > However I am not attributing a reason or a why to existence retroactively.
> >
> > Because you do not yet know THE REASON NOR THE WHY.
>
> As expressed existence itself has no reason or why. Those are complexities concerning conscious beings and particular things.

ONCE AGAIN, 'this' is your OWN UNDERSTANDING, and your OWN MEANING, which 'you' ARE PLACING ON 'things', here.

And, if by your OWN so-called 'logic', 'things' on their own do NOT have 'reason' nor 'why', then 'your reason', here, is NOTHING MORE than 'your OWN personal reason and/or CLAIM'.

Just because you 'reasoned out' that Existence, Itself, has NO 'reason' NOR 'why', based on NOTHING MORE than your OWN 'past experiences' where you NEVER LEARNED, UNDERSTOOD, NOR KNEW the REASON WHY Existence, Itself, IS HERE-NOW.

And, WHEN, and IF, you EVER DO LEARN, then 'you' WILL ALSO UNDERSTAND and KNOW the REASON WHY.

ONCE AGAIN, just because these people's BELIEFS, which are based upon their OWN VERY TINY and LITTLE 'experiences', IN Life/Existence, NEVER EVER MEANS that what they BELIEVE is even remotely CLOSE to the ACTUAL and IRREFUTABLE Truth of things.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
> Reason is an aspect of existence, not a cause of existence or something beyond existence.

Is 'this' what you have 'reasoned out', TO "yourself", FULLY?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
> Reason could not cause or initiate existence when reason itself is existence.

What you are 'TRYING TO' SAY and CLAIM, here, is some thing like, 'reason', itself, could NOT cause nor initiate 'the sun', which OBVIOUSLY EXISTED BEFORE you human beings did. Therefore, the 'reason' FOR or WHY the sun exists can NOT be FOUND, nor GIVEN, by you human beings. Which is OBVIOUSLY ABSOLUTELY ABSURD, RIDICULOUS, ILLOGICAL, and UNREASONABLE.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
> To reiterate there is no reason or why for existence.

LOL
LOL
LOL

'I' ALREADY KNOW what the REASON and the WHY FOR 'Existence', Itself.

AND, if 'you' EVER COME TO ALSO KNOW thy 'Self', then 'you' WILL ALSO UNDERSTAND and KNOW the REASON WHY Existence, Itself, EXISTS.

Can you YET COMPREHEND and UNDERSTAND that 'I' AM SAYING that 'I' ALREADY KNOW the REASON WHY FOR Existence, which 'you' BELIEVE and CLAIM there is NO reason NOR why for Existence?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
> Existence simply is. Reason and why concern conscious beings and particular or specific things.[/quote
>
> You claim I “do not yet know the reason nor the why”.

OF COURSE 'this' is WHAT I CLAIM. you are 'the one' who SAYS and STATES that 'you do not yet know the reason nor the way', correct?

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
>
> I’m interested to know what you think those are.

I WILL, AGAIN, SUGGEST that when and if ANY one REALLY HAS INTEREST IN KNOWING ANY thing, here, then JUST ASK 'the question' in regards to what 'it' IS, EXACTLY, that you WANT TO KNOW.

Now, if you really are 'interested in knowing' what I SAY and CLAIM is 'the REASON WHY' Existence, Itself, EXISTS, then JUST ASK.

But, instead of WAITING FOR 'you' 'I' WILL, AGAIN, say what is the REASON WHY Existence, Itself, TO me.

The REASON Existence, Itself, exists is so that 'I' can BEAR WITNESS TO the beauty that 'I' AM Creating, HERE-NOW.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
>
> > **Age** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 11:57 pm
> >
> > > **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sat Apr 05, 2025 7:02 am
> > >
> > > Provide examples of “reason” and “why” which do not involve conscious beings or particulars. Then your statement may have merit.
> >
> > AGAIN, your two sentences and CLAIMS, here, do NOT make ACTUAL SENSE.
>
> This could easily be interpreted as an attempt to evade my request for examples.

YES, OBVIOUSLY it COULD. But, it was NOT an attempt to evade your request for examples.

OBVIOUSLY it is an ABSOLUTE IMPOSSIBILITY to provide examples of 'reason' or 'why' for absolutely ANY thing, which does not involve conscious human beings.

ONLY conscious human beings can 'make up' reasons or whys, and only conscious human beings can express and receive reasons and whys. So, again, it is IMPOSSIBLE to provide examples of 'reason' and 'why', which do not involve conscious human beings. So, it does NOT MAKE ACTUAL SENSE to ASK FOR examples that could NEVER be provided.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
> The sentences certainly make sense.

To you maybe. But, to me, asking for examples of what can NOT be given does NOT make ACTUAL SENSE.

> **daniel j lavender** wrote: ↑ Sun Apr 06, 2025 3:33 am
> You seem to claim existence itself has or had some reason for being. I’m asking that you provide an example of such reason not involving conscious beings or particular things to illustrate such reason for existence itself. Illustrate your point.

LOL ONCE MORE NO one can PROVIDE you of what you are ASKING FOR, here, BECAUSE it is, OBVIOUSLY, an IMPOSSIBILITY.

you are CONFLATED TWO DIFFERENT things, and then 'TRYING TO' CLAIM that because one can NOT BE DONE, therefore, the other does EXIST.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Fairy** » Mon Apr 07, 2025 8:35 am

Hyperreality, a concept coined by Jean Baudrillard, refers to a state where simulations and representations of reality become more real than the original, leading to a blurring of distinctions between the real and the simulated.

Once you know that unicorns don’t exist, you lose interest in infinity altogether.

Fairy
Posts: 2631
Joined: Thu May 09, 2024 7:07 pm
Location: The United Kingdom of Heaven

Re: Existence Is Infinite

by **Age** » Mon Apr 07, 2025 9:58 am

> **Fairy** wrote: ↑ Mon Apr 07, 2025 8:35 am
> Hyperreality, a concept coined by Jean Baudrillard, refers to a state where simulations and representations of reality become more real than the original, leading to a blurring of distinctions between the real and the simulated.
>
> Once you know that unicorns don’t exist, you lose interest in infinity altogether.

If one loses interest in the IRREFUTABLE Fact that the Universe, Itself, IS infinite, and/or eternal, is of NO REAL CONCERN AT ALL. People's interest or none interest CERTAINLY DOES NOT have ANY BEARING ON what is ACTUALLY REAL, and True.

Age
Posts: 26385
Joined: Sun Aug 05, 2018 8:17 am

Powered by phpBB® Forum Software © phpBB Limited
Privacy | Terms